

## Technical examples before and after

Original	Plain language rewrite
<p><b>1. General Description</b></p> <p><i>This option envisages the relocation of the Zombie Group and all supporting elements to Bulls. A number of elements will remain in Christchurch but will not necessarily stay in the CBD. These include the Zombie Development Unit, Zombie School and support facilities for Zombies. Training elements and other admin capabilities at Bulls remain unchanged. All domestic and regional support capabilities not moving to Bulls will close and Zombie home base will be disposed of.</i></p> <p><i>New accommodation will be provided for Zombies and Vampires in Bulls. Unlike the move to Mars option there are few opportunities for significant amalgamation of units and/or functions. This means that a significant slice of Bulls training functions and admin support functions will need to be re-created on Mars.</i></p> <p><i>This option has been developed to a conceptual level only for the purposes of informing a cabinet paper. Before any decision is approved or implemented considerable detailed planning and stress testing will be required.</i></p>	<p>This option at a glance:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Relocate the Zombie Group and all supporting elements to Bulls</li> <li>• Keep some elements in Christchurch but not necessarily in the CBD, including -             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Zombie Development Unit</li> <li>○ Zombie School</li> <li>○ Support facilities for Zombies</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Keep training elements and other admin capabilities at Bulls as is</li> <li>• Close all domestic and regional support capabilities not moving to Bulls</li> <li>• Dispose of Zombie home base</li> <li>• Provide new accommodation for Zombies and Vampires in Bulls</li> </ul> <p>We've developed this option to a conceptual level only for the purposes of informing a cabinet paper. Before any decision is approved, we'll need to do detailed planning and stress testing.</p>

Original	Plain language rewrite
<p><b><i>DevTest Business case for additional Datacom Storage</i></b></p> <p><i>The requirement for additional 9.5 TB Datacom storage is for the ability to move from our current LabManager infrastructure; which is end of life, to vCloud Director. This will enable our Software Improvement team to:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>- Develop and test applications on new server technology (windows server 2012)</i></li> <li><i>- For support reverse engineering issues with production applications based on new technology</i></li> <li><i>- Test new features of windows server 2012 which can improve current processes and procedures in our business</i></li> <li><i>- Be able to reflect our production environment today and in the future</i></li> <li><i>- Guarantee IOPs for certain VMs in the Development environment</i></li> </ul> <p><i>The amount of 9.5 TB should cover the three main labs, which are Development, Test and PreProd including additional labs, which are sometimes needed to grant effective development on side projects.</i></p> <p><i>The CX3-40 will be reaching end-of-life at the beginning / mid of next year. So consideration needs to be made for future proofing SAN environment is a requirement. A preferred option is to move the SAN infrastructure to a IAASS provider. The immediate benefit will be the initial CAPEX saving to the business and SAN Storage on-demand. The desired Business case will support the IAAS approach which will support a one-step migration that supports the VSphere 5.1 upgrade.</i></p>	<p><b>DevTest Business case for additional Datacom Storage</b></p> <p>VCloud is our current LabManager infrastructure. It has reached the end of its life. We need to move that infrastructure to vCloud director. This requires 9.5 TB of additional Datacom storage.</p> <p>The 9.5 TB of additional storage will enable our software improvement team to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Develop and test applications on new server technology (windows server 2012)</li> <li>• Support reverse engineering issues with production applications based on new technology</li> <li>• Test new features of windows server 2012 which can improve current processes and procedures in our business</li> <li>• Continue to function in the changing IT environment, with some future proofing in place (see note below)</li> <li>• Guarantee IOPs for certain VMs in the development environment</li> </ul> <p>We estimate 9.5 TB will cover the three main labs:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Development</li> <li>• Test</li> <li>• PreProd including additional labs, which are sometimes needed to grant effective development on side projects.</li> </ul> <p><b>A note on futureproofing:</b></p> <p>There is an added benefit to this extra Datacom Storage. The CX3-40 will be reaching end-of-life next year. Our preferred option when this happens is to move the SAN infrastructure to an IAASS provider. This will give us SAN Storage on-demand and significant CAPEX saving. The 9.5 TB supports this.</p>